arXiv:math/0109023v2 [math.RT] 31 Dec 2002

Hook Interpolations

Ron M. Adin*[§] Avital Frumkin[†] Yuval Roichman[‡][§]

submitted July 30, 2001; revised November 14, 2002

Abstract

The hook components of $V^{\otimes n}$ interpolate between the symmetric power $\mathrm{Sym}^n(V)$ and the exterior power $\wedge^n(V)$. When V is the vector space of $k \times m$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$, we decompose the hook components into irreducible $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-modules. In particular, classical theorems are proved as boundary cases. For the algebra of square matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$, a bivariate interpolation is presented and studied.

1 Introduction

The vector space $M_{k,m}$ of $k \times m$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$ carries a (left) $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-action and a (right) $GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-action. A classical Theorem of Ehresmann [2] describes the decomposition of an exterior power of $M_{k,m}$ into irreducible bimodules. The symmetric analogue was given later (cf. [6]). See Subsection 2.3 below.

In this paper we present a natural interpolation between these theorems, in terms of hook components of the n-th tensor power of $M_{k,m}$. Duality and asymptotics of the decomposition of hook components follow.

Similar methods are then applied to the diagonal two-sided $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-action on the vector space of $k \times k$ matrices. Classical theorems of Thrall

---

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel. Email: `radin@math.biu.ac.il`

[†] Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel. Email: `frumkin@math.tau.ac.il`

[‡] Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel. Email: `yuvalr@math.biu.ac.il`

[§] Research was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, and by internal research grants from Bar-Ilan University.

[18] and James [7] (for the symmetric powers of symmetric matrices), and of Helgason [4], Shimura [14] and Howe [5] (for the symmetric powers of anti-symmetric matrices) are extended, and a bivariate interpolation is presented.

Proofs are obtained using the representation theory of the symmetric and hyperoctahedral groups, together with Schur-Weyl duality; no use is made of highest weight theory.

1.1 Main Results

Let $M_{k,m}$ be the vector space of $k \times m$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. The tensor power $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}$ carries a natural S_n-action by permuting the factors. This action decomposes the tensor power into irreducible S_n-modules. Let $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}(t)$ be the isotypic component of $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}$ corresponding to the irreducible S_n-representation indexed by the hook $(n-t, 1^t)$, where $0 \le t \le n-1$. This component still carries a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-action.

Theorem 1.1 *Let λ and μ be partitions of n, of lengths at most k and m, respectively. For every $0 \le t \le n-1$, the multiplicity of the irreducible $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-module $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ in $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}(t)$ is*

$$\binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^{t-i} \sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i) = \binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^{i-t-1} \sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i)$$

where

$$\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i) := \sum_{\alpha \vdash n-i, \beta \vdash i} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} c_{\alpha\beta'}^{\mu},$$

$c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda}$ are Littlewood-Richardson coefficients, and β' is the partition conjugate to β.

See Theorem 3.3 below; for definitions and notation see Section 2 below. Theorem 1.1 interpolates between two well-known classical theorems (Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 below; see the remark following Theorem 3.3).

The following corollary generalizes the duality between Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 1.2 *Let $\mu \subseteq (m^m)$ and λ be partitions of n. For every $0 \le t \le n-1$ the multiplicity of $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ in $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}(t)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^{\mu'}$ in $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}(n-1-t)$.*

See Corollary 3.4 below.

Let λ and μ be partitions of n. Define the *distance*

$$d(\lambda,\mu) := \frac{1}{2}\sum_i |\lambda_i - \mu_i|.$$

Theorem 1.3 *If $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ appears as a factor in $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}(t)$ (for some $0 \le t \le n-1$) then*

$$d(\lambda,\mu) < km.$$

See Theorem 4.3 below. This shows that, for $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ to appear in a hook component, λ and μ must be very "close" to each other (for k and m fixed, n tending to infinity).

Consider now the vector space $M_{k,k}$ of $k \times k$ square matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. Let $M_{k,k}^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ be the component of $M_{k,k}^{\otimes n}(t)$ consisting of tensors with j skew symmetric and $n-j$ symmetric factors. $M_{k,k}^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ carries a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$ two-sided diagonal action. The following theorem describes its decomposition as a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-module.

Theorem 1.4 *Let λ be a partition of $2n$ of length at most k. For every $0 \le t \le n-1$ and $0 \le j \le n$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $M_{k,k}^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ is*

$$\binom{n-1}{t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}(-1)^{t-i}\sigma_\lambda(i,j) = \binom{n-1}{t}\sum_{i=t+1}^{n}(-1)^{i-t-1}\sigma_\lambda(i,j)$$

where

$$\sigma_\lambda(i,j) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\delta|=n,\ |\gamma|+|\delta|=i,\ |\beta|+|\delta|=j} c^\lambda_{2\cdot\alpha,(2\cdot\beta)',2*\gamma,(2*\delta)'},$$

and the sum is over all partitions $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ with total size n such that γ and δ have distinct parts and total size i, and β and δ have total size j. The operations $*$ and $\cdot$ are defined in Subsection 2.1. Definition of the (extended) Littlewood-Richardson coefficients is given in Subsection 2.2.

See Theorem 5.7 below. Theorem 1.4, for $t=0$, interpolates between classical results, regarding symmetric powers of the spaces of symmetric and skew symmetric matrices (Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 below). Another boundary case, $t=n$, gives an interpolation between exterior powers of the same matrix spaces.

Corollary 1.5 *Let* $\lambda \subseteq (k^k)$ *be a partition of* $2n$. *For every* $0 \le t \le n-1$ *and* $0 \le j \le n$, *the multiplicity of* V_k^{λ} *in* $M_{k,k}^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ *is equal to the multiplicity of* $V_k^{\lambda'}$ *in* $M_{k,k}^{\otimes n}(t,n-j)$.

See Corollary 5.8 below.

2 Background and Notation

2.1 Partitions

Let n be a positive integer. A *partition* of n is a vector of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k)$, where $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k = n$. We denote this by $\lambda \vdash n$. The *size* of a partition $\lambda \vdash n$, denoted $|\lambda|$, is n, and its *length*, $\ell(\lambda)$, is the number of parts. The empty partition $\emptyset$ has size and length zero: $|\emptyset| = \ell(\emptyset) = 0$. The set of all partitions of n with at most k parts is denoted by $\mathrm{Par}_k(n)$.

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ define the *conjugate partition* $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_t)$ by letting λ'_i be the number of parts of λ that have size at least i.

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ may be viewed as the subset

$$\{(i,j) \mid 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le \lambda_i\} \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}^2,$$

the corresponding *Young diagram.* Using this interpretation, we may speak of the intersection $\lambda \cap \mu$ and the set difference $\lambda \setminus \mu$ of any two partitions. The set difference is called a *skew shape*; when $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ it is usually denoted λ/μ.

Let $(k^m) := (k, \ldots, k)$ (m equal parts). Thus, for example, $\lambda \subseteq (k^m)$ means $\lambda_1 \le k$ and $\lambda'_1 \le m$.

We shall also use the Frobenius notation for partitions, defined as follows: Let λ be a partition of n and set $d := \max\{i \mid \lambda_i - i \ge 0\}$ (i.e., the length of the main diagonal in the Young diagram of λ). Then the Frobenius notation for λ is $(\lambda_1 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_d - d \mid \lambda'_1 - 1, \ldots, \lambda'_d - d)$.

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of n define the following doubling operation

$$2 \cdot \lambda := (2\lambda_1, \ldots, 2\lambda_k) \vdash 2n.$$

If all the parts of λ are distinct, define also

$$2 * \lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots \lambda_k \mid \lambda_1 - 1, \ldots \lambda_k - 1) \vdash 2n$$

in the Frobenius notation.

2.2 Representations

For any group G denote the trivial representation by 1_G. In this paper we shall denote the irreducible S_n-modules (Specht modules) by S^λ, and the irreducible $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-modules (Weyl modules) by V_k^λ.

The Littlewood-Richardson coefficients describe the decomposition of tensor products of Weyl modules. Let $\mu \vdash t$ and $\nu \vdash n-t$. Then

$$V_k^\mu \otimes V_k^\nu \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda V_k^\lambda,$$

for $k \geq \max\{\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu)\}$ (and the coefficients $c_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda$ are then independent of k).

By Schur-Weyl duality they are also the coefficients of the outer product of Specht modules. Namely,

$$(S^\mu \otimes S^\nu) \uparrow_{S_t \times S_{n-t}}^{S_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda S^\lambda.$$

The following identity is well-known: For all triples of partitions λ, μ, ν

$$c_{\mu,\nu}^\lambda = c_{\mu',\nu'}^{\lambda'}. \qquad (2.a)$$

We shall also use the following notation for *extended Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* :

$$c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^\lambda := \sum_{\mu,\nu} c_{\alpha,\mu}^\lambda c_{\beta,\nu}^\mu c_{\gamma,\delta}^\nu;$$

so that

$$V_k^\alpha \otimes V_k^\beta \otimes V_k^\gamma \otimes V_k^\delta = \bigoplus_\lambda c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}^\lambda V_k^\lambda.$$

Let B_n be the Weyl group of type B and rank n, also known as the hyperoctahedral group or the group of signed permutations. A *bipartition* of n is an ordered pair (μ, ν) of partitions of total size $|\mu| + |\nu| = n$. The irreducible characters of B_n are indexed by bipartitions of n; denote by $\chi^{\mu,\nu}$ the character indexed by (μ, ν).

Consider the following natural embeddings of S_n into B_n and of B_n into S_{2n}: S_{2n} is the group of permutations on $\{-n, \ldots, -1, 1, \ldots, n\}$. B_n is embedded as the subgroup of all $\pi \in S_{2n}$ satisfying $\pi(-i) = -\pi(i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq$

n). S_n is embedded as the subgroup of all $\pi \in B_n$ satisfying also $\pi(i) > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

The following lemmas, used in Section 5, describe certain induced characters via the above embeddings. Lemma 2.1 is an immediate consequence of [12, Ch. I §7 Ex 4, Ch. I §8 Ex 5-6, and Ch. VII (2.4)]. See also [16].

Lemma 2.1

$$(a) \qquad 1_{B_n} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = \chi^{(n),\emptyset} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^{2\cdot\lambda};$$

$$(b) \qquad \chi^{\emptyset,(n)} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^{(2\cdot\lambda)'};$$

$$(c) \qquad \chi^{(1^n),\emptyset} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^{2*\lambda};$$

$$(d) \qquad \chi^{\emptyset,(1^n)} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^{(2*\lambda)'};$$

where the last two sums are over partitions with distinct parts.

Lemma 2.2

$$(a) \qquad \chi^{(n)} \uparrow_{S_n}^{B_n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \chi^{(i),(n-i)}.$$

$$(b) \qquad \chi^{(1^n)} \uparrow_{S_n}^{B_n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \chi^{(1^i),(1^{n-i})}.$$

For a proof, see Section 6.1.

The following lemma is a special case of the Littlewood-Richardson rule for B_n, cf. [17, Lemma 7.1].

Lemma 2.3

$$\chi^{(i),(n-i)} = (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-i)}) \uparrow_{B_i \times B_{n-i}}^{B_n}.$$

2.3 Symmetric and Exterior Powers of Matrix Spaces

In this subsection we cite well-known classical theorems, concerning the decomposition into irreducibles of symmetric and exterior powers of matrix spaces, which are to be generalized in this paper.

Let $M_{k,m}$ be the vector space of $k \times m$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. Then $M_{k,m}$ carries a (left) $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-action and a (right) $GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-action. A classical Theorem of Ehresmann [2] (see also [10]) describes the decomposition of an exterior power of $M_{k,m}$ into irreducible $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-modules.

Theorem 2.4 *The n-th exterior power of $M_{k,m}$ is isomorphic, as a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-module, to*

$$\wedge^n(M_{k,m}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n \text{ and } \lambda \subseteq (m^k)} V_k^{\lambda} \otimes V_m^{\lambda'},$$

where λ' is the partition conjugate to λ.

The following three results on symmetric powers were proved several times independently; these results may be found in [6] and [3].

The symmetric analogue of Theorem 2.4 was studied, for example, in [6, (11.1.1)] and [3, Theorem 5.2.7].

Theorem 2.5 *The n-th symmetric power of $M_{k,m}$ is isomorphic, as a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-module, to*

$$Sym^n(M_{k,m}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n \text{ and } \ell(\lambda) \leq \min(k,m)} V_k^{\lambda} \otimes V_m^{\lambda}.$$

Let $M_{k,k}^{+}$ be the vector space of symmetric $k \times k$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. This space carries a natural two sided $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-action. The following theorem describes the decomposition of its symmetric powers into irreducible $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-modules.

Theorem 2.6 *The n-th symmetric power of $M_{k,k}^{+}$ is isomorphic, as a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-module, to*

$$Sym^n(M_{k,k}^{+}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Par_k(n)} V_k^{2\cdot\lambda}.$$

This theorem was proved by A.T. James [7], but had already appeared in an early work of Thrall [18]. See also [5], [14], [6, (11.2.2)] and [3, Theorem 5.2.9] for further proofs and references.

Let $M^-_{k,k}$ be the vector space of skew symmetric $k \times k$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. Then

Theorem 2.7 *The n-th symmetric power of $M^-_{k,k}$ is isomorphic, as a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-module, to*

$$Sym^n(M^-_{k,k}) \cong \bigoplus_{(2\cdot\lambda)' \in Par_k(2n)} V_k^{(2\cdot\lambda)'}.$$

This theorem is proved in [4], [5], [14]. See also [6, (11.3.2)] and [3, Theorem 5.2.11].

3 Hook Components of $M_{k,m}^{\otimes n}$

Consider $M = M_{k,m} = \boldsymbol{C}^{k\times m}$, the vector space of $k \times m$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. Then $M \cong V \otimes W$, where $V \cong \boldsymbol{C}^k$ and $W \cong \boldsymbol{C}^m$. Thus M carries a (left) $GL(V)$-action and a (right) $GL(W)$-action, which commute. Its tensor power $M^{\otimes n} \cong V^{\otimes n} \otimes W^{\otimes n}$ thus carries a $GL(V) \times S_n \times S_n \times GL(W)$ linear representation; one copy of the symmetric group S_n permutes the factors in $V^{\otimes n}$, and the other copy of S_n permutes the factors in $W^{\otimes n}$. The actions of all four groups clearly commute. We are interested in the $GL(V) \times S_n \times GL(W)$-action on $M^{\otimes n}$ obtained through the diagonal embedding $S_n \hookrightarrow S_n \times S_n$, $\pi \mapsto (\pi, \pi)$.

Lemma 3.1

$$M^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Par_k(n)\ ,\ \nu \in Par(n)\ ,\ \mu \in Par_m(n)} \alpha_{\lambda\mu\nu} V_k^\lambda \otimes S^\nu \otimes V_m^\mu,$$

where

$$\alpha_{\lambda\mu\nu} := \langle \chi^\lambda \chi^\mu \chi^\nu, 1_{S_n} \rangle.$$

Proof. By Schur-Weyl duality (the double commutant theorem) [3, Theorem 9.1.2]

$$V^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(n)} V_k^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$$

as $GL(V) \times S_n$-modules. Similarly,

$$W^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_m(n)} V_m^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$$

as $GL(W) \times S_n$-modules. Therefore

$$M^{\otimes n} \cong V^{\otimes n} \otimes W^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(n) \text{ and } \mu \in \mathrm{Par}_m(n)} V_k^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu \otimes V_m^\mu$$

as $GL(V) \times S_n \times S_n \times GL(W)$-modules.

Using the diagonal embedding $S_n \hookrightarrow S_n \times S_n$,

$$M^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(n) \text{ and } \mu \in \mathrm{Par}_m(n)} V_k^\lambda \otimes (S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu) \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \otimes V_m^\mu$$

as $GL(V) \times S_n \times GL(W)$-modules.

Note that the S_n-character of $(S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu) \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}$ is the standard inner tensor product (sometimes called Kronecker product) of the S_n-characters χ^λ and χ^μ. Hence, by elementary representation theory

$$(S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu) \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\nu \vdash n} \alpha_{\lambda\mu\nu} S^\nu,$$

where

$$\alpha_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \langle \chi^\lambda \chi^\mu, \chi^\nu \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi^\lambda(\pi) \chi^\mu(\pi) \chi^\nu(\pi) = \langle \chi^\lambda \chi^\mu \chi^\nu, 1_{S_n} \rangle.$$

□

In particular, Lemma 3.1 gives Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 3.2

(1) $$Sym^n(M) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n \text{ and } \ell(\lambda) \leq \min(k,m)} V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\lambda.$$

(2) $$\wedge^n(M) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n \text{ and } \lambda \subseteq (m^k)} V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^{\lambda'}.$$

Proof. $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M)$ is the isotypic component of $M^{\otimes n}$ corresponding to the trivial character $\chi^{(n)}$ of the symmetric group. Thus, by Lemma 3.1

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n(M) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(n) \text{ and } \mu \in \mathrm{Par}_m(n)} \alpha_{\lambda,\mu,(n)} V_k^\lambda \otimes S^{(n)} \otimes V_m^\mu.$$

But by the orthonormality of irreducible characters

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\mu,(n)} = \langle \chi^\lambda \chi^\mu, \chi^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \chi^\lambda, \chi^\mu \chi^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \chi^\lambda, \chi^\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}.$$

This proves (1), namely Theorem 2.5.

The n-th exterior power is the isotypic component of $M^{\otimes n}$ corresponding to the sign character $\chi^{(1^n)}$ of the symmetric group. Recall that for any partition $\mu \vdash n$, $\chi^\mu \chi^{(1^n)} = \chi^{\mu'}$. Thus

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\mu,(1^n)} = \langle \chi^\lambda \chi^\mu, \chi^{(1^n)} \rangle = \langle \chi^\lambda, \chi^\mu \chi^{(1^n)} \rangle = \langle \chi^\lambda, \chi^{\mu'} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu'}.$$

This proves (2), namely Theorem 2.4.

□

Let M be the vector space of $k \times m$ matrices as before. The tensor power $M^{\otimes n}$ carries a natural S_n-action by permuting the factors. This action decomposes into irreducible S_n-representations. Let $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ be the component of $M^{\otimes n}$, corresponding to the irreducible hook representation $(n-t, 1^t)$, $0 \le t \le n-1$. This component carries a $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-action.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\lambda \in Par_k(n)$ and $\mu \in Par_m(n)$. For every $0 \le t \le n-1$, the multiplicity of the irreducible $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \times GL_m(\boldsymbol{C})$-module $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ in $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ is*

$$\binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^{t-i} \sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i) = \binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^{i-t-1} \sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i)$$

where

$$\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i) := \sum_{\alpha \vdash n-i, \beta \vdash i} c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} c^\mu_{\alpha\beta'},$$

$c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ are Littlewood-Richardson coefficients, and β' is the partition conjugate to β.

Remark. Theorem 3.3 may be considered as an interpolation between Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5. Taking $t = 0$ gives $M^{\otimes n}(0) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^n(M)$, and $\beta \vdash 0$ means $\beta = \emptyset$. Hence $\lambda = \alpha = \mu$, yielding multiplicity $\delta_{\lambda\mu}$. This gives Theorem 2.5.
Similarly, taking $t = n-1$ gives $M^{\otimes n}(n-1) \cong \wedge^n(M)$, and $\alpha \vdash 0$ means $\alpha = \emptyset$. Hence $\lambda = \beta = \mu'$, yielding multiplicity $\delta_{\lambda\mu'}$. This gives Theorem 2.4.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1

$$M^{\otimes n}(t) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda\in \mathrm{Par}_k(n) \text{ and } \mu\in\mathrm{Par}_m(n)} \alpha_{\lambda,\mu,(n-t,1^t)} V_k^\lambda \otimes S^{(n-t,1^t)} \otimes V_m^\mu$$

is the decomposition of this component into irreducibles.

Denote by 1_t and ε_t the trivial and sign characters, respectively, of S_t. By the combinatorial interpretation of the Littlewood-Richardson rule (cf. [8, Theorem 2.8.13]), for every $0 \le t \le n$

$$(1_{n-t} \otimes \varepsilon_t) \uparrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_n} = \chi^{(n-t,1^t)} + \chi^{(n-t+1,1^{t-1})}. \tag{3.a}$$

Hence, by Frobenius reciprocity

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\mu,(n-t,1^t)} + \alpha_{\lambda,\mu,(n-t+1,1^{t-1})} = \langle \chi^\lambda\chi^\mu, \chi^{(n-t,1^t)} + \chi^{(n-t+1,1^{t-1})}\rangle =$$

$$= \langle \chi^\lambda\chi^\mu, (1_{n-t}\otimes\varepsilon_t)\uparrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_n}\rangle = \langle (\chi^\lambda\chi^\mu)\downarrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_n}, 1_{n-t}\otimes\varepsilon_t\rangle =$$

$$= \langle \chi^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_n}, \chi^\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_n} \cdot (1_{n-t}\otimes\varepsilon_t)\rangle.$$

By the Littlewood-Richardson rule the last expression is equal to

$$\langle \sum_{\alpha\vdash n-t,\beta\vdash t} c_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda \chi^\alpha\otimes\chi^\beta, \sum_{\alpha\vdash n-t,\beta\vdash t} c_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \chi^\alpha\otimes\chi^\beta \cdot (1_{n-t}\otimes\varepsilon_t)\rangle =$$

$$= \langle \sum_{\alpha\vdash n-t,\beta\vdash t} c_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda \chi^\alpha\otimes\chi^\beta, \sum_{\alpha\vdash n-t,\beta\vdash t} c_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \chi^\alpha\otimes\chi^{\beta'}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\vdash n-t,\beta\vdash t} c_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda c_{\alpha\beta'}^\mu,$$

which was denoted $\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ in the statement of the theorem. Alternating summation and the well-known fact

$$\dim S^{(n-t,1^t)} = \binom{n-1}{t}$$

now complete the proof. □

The following corollary generalizes the "duality" of Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 3.4 *Let $\lambda \in Par_k(n)$, and let $\mu, \mu' \in Par_m(n)$ be conjugate partitions. Then, for every $0 \le t \le n-1$, the multiplicity of $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ in $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^{\mu'}$ in $M^{\otimes n}(n-1-t)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we need to show that

$$\binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^{t-i} \sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i) = \binom{n-1}{n-1-t} \sum_{j=n-t}^{n} (-1)^{j-n+t} \sigma_{\lambda,\mu'}(j).$$

This follows from

$$\sigma_{\lambda,\mu}(i) = \sigma_{\lambda,\mu'}(n-i),$$

which in turn follows from (2.a).

□

Examples. Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(n)$, $\mu, \mu' \in \mathrm{Par}_m(n)$. The multiplicities of $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ in $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ for $t = 0$ and $t = n-1$ are given by Theorems 2.5 and 2.4. Consider two other pairs of t-values.

- $t = 1$: For $\lambda = \mu$ the multiplicity is $n-1$ times the number of (inner) corners in λ, minus 1. For $\lambda \neq \mu$ it is $n-1$ if $|\lambda \setminus \mu| = 1$, and zero otherwise.
- $t = n-2$: For $\lambda = \mu'$ the multiplicity is $n-1$ times the number of (inner) corners in λ, minus 1. For $\lambda \neq \mu'$ it is $n-1$ if $|\lambda \setminus \mu'| = 1$, and zero otherwise.
- $t = 2$ $(n > 2)$: For $\lambda = \mu$ the multiplicity is nonzero iff λ has at least 3 inner corners. For $\lambda \neq \mu$ it is nonzero iff there is a partition α of $n-2$ such that λ/α is a horizontal strip and μ/α is a vertical strip, or vice versa.
- $t = n-3$ $(n > 2)$: Analogous to the previous case, with μ replaced by μ'.

4 Asymptotics

Let λ and μ be partitions of the same number n. Recalling the definition of the set difference $\lambda \setminus \mu$ from Subsection 2.1, define the *distance*

$$d(\lambda, \mu) := |\lambda \setminus \mu| \ \left(= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |\lambda_i - \mu_i| \ \right) .$$

Lemma 4.1 *If* $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ *appears as a factor in* $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ *(for some* $0 \le t \le n-1$*) then* $d(\lambda,\mu) \le t$ *and* $d(\lambda,\mu') \le n-1-t$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, if $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ appears as a factor in $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ then there exists a pair of partitions, $\alpha \vdash n-i$ and $\beta \vdash i$, with $0 \le i \le t$, such that $c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} c^\mu_{\alpha\beta'} \ne 0$. $c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \ne 0 \Rightarrow \alpha \subseteq \lambda$, and $c^\mu_{\alpha\beta'} \ne 0 \Rightarrow \alpha \subseteq \mu$. Hence

$$|\lambda \setminus \mu| \le |\lambda \setminus \alpha| = i \le t.$$

Using the second expression in Theorem 3.3, if $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ appears as a factor in $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ then there exists a pair of partitions, $\alpha \vdash n-j$ and $\beta \vdash j$, with $t+1 \le j \le n$, such that $c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} c^\mu_{\alpha\beta'} \ne 0$. $c^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \ne 0 \Rightarrow \beta \subseteq \lambda$, and $c^\mu_{\alpha\beta'} \ne 0 \Rightarrow \beta \subseteq \mu'$. Hence

$$|\lambda \setminus \mu'| \le |\lambda \setminus \beta| = n-j \le n-t-1.$$

Altogether, we get the desired claim.

□

Let ψ be an S_n-character (not necessarily irreducible). Define the *height* of ψ by

$$\text{height}(\psi) := \max\{\ell(\nu) \mid \nu \vdash n,\ \langle \psi, \chi^\nu \rangle \ne 0\}.$$

The following result was proved by Regev.

Lemma 4.2 *[13, Theorem 12] For any* $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$,

$$\textit{height}(\chi^\lambda \chi^\mu) \le \ell(\lambda) \cdot \ell(\mu).$$

Theorem 4.3 *If* $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ *appears as a factor in* $M^{\otimes n}(t)$ *(for some* $0 \le t \le n-1$*) then*

$$d(\lambda,\mu) < km.$$

Proof.

$$d(\lambda,\mu) \overset{(1)}{\le} t \overset{(2)}{\le} \text{height}(\chi^\lambda\chi^\mu) - 1 \overset{(3)}{\le} \ell(\lambda)\cdot\ell(\mu) - 1 \le km - 1.$$

Inequalities (1), (2) and (3) follow from Lemmas 4.1, 3.1 (for $\nu = (n-t, 1^t)$) and 4.2, respectively. □

Let ψ be an S_n-character (not necessarily irreducible). Define the *width* of ψ by

$$\text{width}(\psi) := \max\{\nu_1 \mid \nu \vdash n,\ \langle \psi, \chi^\nu \rangle \ne 0\}.$$

The following result of Dvir strengthens Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.4 *[1, Theorem 1.6] For any* $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$,

$$\text{width}(\chi^\lambda \chi^\mu) = |\lambda \cap \mu| \tag{1}$$

and

$$\text{height}(\chi^\lambda \chi^\mu) = |\lambda \cap \mu'|. \tag{2}$$

This result gives another way of proving Theorem 4.3.

Second Proof of Theorem 4.3.

$$d(\lambda,\mu) = |\lambda \setminus \mu| = n - |\lambda \cap \mu| \overset{(1)}{\le} t \overset{(2)}{\le} \text{height}(\chi^\lambda \chi^\mu) - 1 \overset{(3)}{=} |\lambda \cap \mu'| - 1 \le km - 1.$$

Inequality (1) follows from Lemma 4.4(1), since $n - t \le$ width$(\chi^\lambda \chi^\mu)$. Inequality (2) follows from Lemma 3.1. Equality (3) is Lemma 4.4(2).

$\square$

Note : For any two partitions λ, μ of n with $\ell(\lambda) \le k$ and $\ell(\mu) \le m$, $V_k^\lambda \otimes V_m^\mu$ appears as a factor in $M^{\otimes n}$. Theorem 4.3 shows that, in order to appear in a hook component, λ and μ must be very "close" to each other (for k and m fixed, n tending to infinity).

5 Square Matrices

Consider now the vector space $M_k = M_{k,k}$ of $k \times k$ matrices over $\boldsymbol{C}$. This space carries a diagonal (left and right) $GL_k(\boldsymbol{C})$-action, defined by

$$g(m) := g \cdot m \cdot g^t \qquad (\forall g \in GL_k(\boldsymbol{C}) \ , \forall m \in M_k).$$

5.1 Symmetric Powers

Recall from Section 2.1 the definition of $2 \cdot \lambda$, for a partition λ.

Theorem 5.1 *For* $\lambda \in Par_k(2n)$, *the multiplicity of* V_k^λ *in* $Sym^n(M_k)$ *is*

$$\sum_{|\mu|+|\nu|=n} c^\lambda_{2\cdot\mu,(2\cdot\nu)'}.$$

Corollary 5.2 *Let* $\lambda \in Par(2n)$, $\lambda \subseteq (k^k)$ *(i,e.,* $\lambda, \lambda' \in Par_k(2n)$*). Then the multiplicities of* V_k^λ *and of* $V_k^{\lambda'}$ *in* $Sym^n(M_k)$ *are equal.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1, applying identity (2.a).

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $V \cong \boldsymbol{C}^k$. Then $V \otimes V$ carries a diagonal (left) GL_k-action, and

$$M_k \cong V \otimes V$$

as GL_k-modules. Thus

$$M_k^{\otimes n} \cong V^{\otimes 2n}$$

as GL_k-modules. Moreover, $V^{\otimes 2n}$ carries an $S_{2n} \times GL_k$-action : S_{2n} permutes the $2n$ factors in the tensor product, and GL_k acts on all of them simultaneously (on the left). The S_{2n}- and GL_k- actions satisfy Schur-Weyl duality (the double commutant theorem), so that

$$V^{\otimes 2n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(2n)} V_k^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda,$$

as $GL_k \times S_{2n}$-modules.

Now, $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$ is the part of $M_k^{\otimes n}$ which is invariant under the action of $S_n \hookrightarrow S_{2n}$, where the embedding $S_n \hookrightarrow S_n \times S_n \subseteq S_{2n}$ is diagonal: $\pi \longmapsto (\pi, \pi)$. It follows that the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$ is equal to the multiplicity of the trivial character 1_{S_n} in the restriction $\chi^\lambda \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}}$, where S_n is diagonally embedded.

By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\langle 1_{S_n}, \chi^\lambda \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}} \rangle = \langle 1_{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^\lambda \rangle.$$

We conclude that, for $\lambda \in \mathrm{Par}_k(2n)$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$ is

$$\langle 1_{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^\lambda \rangle.$$

We shall compute these multiplicities in several steps.

First, we induce in two steps:

$$1_{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}} = (1_{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{B_n}) \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} .$$

By Lemmas 2.2(a) and 2.3,

$$(\chi^{(n)} \uparrow_{S_n}^{B_n}) \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \chi^{(i),(n-i)} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-i)}) \uparrow_{B_i \times B_{n-i}}^{B_n} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-i)}) \uparrow_{B_i \times B_{n-i}}^{S_{2n}} .$$

Again, let us induce in two steps :

$$(\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-i)}) \uparrow_{B_i \times B_{n-i}}^{S_{2n}} = (\ (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-i)}) \uparrow_{B_i \times B_{n-i}}^{S_{2i} \times S_{2n-2i}}) \uparrow_{S_{2i} \times S_{2n-2i}}^{S_{2n}} =$$

$$= (\ \chi^{(i),\emptyset} \uparrow_{B_i}^{S_{2i}} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-i)} \uparrow_{B_{n-i}}^{S_{2n-2i}}) \uparrow_{S_{2i} \times S_{2n-2i}}^{S_{2n}} .$$

By Lemma 2.1 (a)-(b), the right hand side is equal to

$$(\sum_{\mu \vdash i} \chi^{2 \cdot \mu} \otimes \sum_{\nu \vdash n-i} \chi^{(2 \cdot \nu)'}) \uparrow_{S_{2i} \times S_{2n-2i}}^{S_{2n}} .$$

We conclude that

$$1_{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{\mu \vdash i, \nu \vdash n-i} (\chi^{2 \cdot \mu} \otimes \chi^{(2 \cdot \nu)'}) \uparrow_{S_{2i} \times S_{2n-2i}}^{S_{2n}} .$$

Applying the Littlewood-Richardson rule completes the proof.

□

5.2 A Graded Refinement of Symmetric Powers

The space $M_k^{\otimes n}$ carries not only an S_n-action but also a B_n-action, where the signed permutation $(i, -i)$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ acts by transposing the i-th factor in the tensor product of n square matrices. $M_k = M_k^+ \oplus M_k^-$, where M_k^+ (M_k^-) is the vector space of symmetric (skew symmetric) matrices of order $k \times k$. Consequently, $M_k^{\otimes n}$ is graded by the number of skew symmetric factors. The component of $M_k^{\otimes n}$ with i skew symmetric factors, denoted $M_k^{\otimes n}(i)$, is invariant under the B_n-action, as well as under the diagonal two-sided GL_k-action.

Lemma 5.3 *If the irreducible B_n-character $\chi^{\mu,\nu}$ appears in the decomposition of the B_n-action on $M_k^{\otimes n}(i)$, then $|\nu| = i$.*

For a proof see Section 6.2.

Since the components $M_k^{\otimes n}(i)$ are invariant under the S_n-action, the S_n-invariant subspace $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$ inherits the grading by the number of skew symmetric factors. Let $\mathrm{Sym}_i^n(M_k)$ denote the component with i skew symmetric factors. The following theorem refines Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.4 *For $\lambda \in Par_k(2n)$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $Sym_i^n(M_k)$ is*

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n-i\ ,\ \nu \vdash i} c^\lambda_{2\cdot\mu,(2\cdot\nu)'}.$$

Note: Theorem 5.4 interpolates between two classical results, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. Indeed, $\mathrm{Sym}_0^n(M_k) = \mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k^+)$ is the n-th symmetric power of the vector space of symmetric matrices. In this case $i = 0$, so $\nu = \emptyset$. Hence

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n} c^\lambda_{2\cdot\mu,\emptyset} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = 2\cdot\mu \text{ for some } \mu \vdash n\ ; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This gives Theorem 2.6. Similarly, $\mathrm{Sym}_n^n(M_k) = \mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k^-)$. In this case $i = n$, $\mu = \emptyset$, and a similar computation gives Theorem 2.7.

An analogue of Corollary 3.4 follows.

Corollary 5.5 *Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in Par_k(2n)$ be conjugate partitions. Then, for every $0 \le i \le n$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $Sym_i^n(M_k)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $V_k^{\lambda'}$ in $Sym_{n-i}^n(M_k)$.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 5.4 with identity (2.a). □

Proof of Theorem 5.4. This is a refinement of the proof of Theorem 5.1. In this refinement the group B_n appears in an essential way, whereas in the proof of Theorem 5.1 it was used only as a technical tool.

$M_k^{\otimes n}$ is a B_n-module, and $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$ is its submodule, for which the B_n-action, when restricted to S_n, is trivial. Hence, if the irreducible B_n-character $\chi^{\mu,\nu}$ appears in $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$, then

$$\langle \chi^{\mu,\nu} \downarrow_{S_n}^{B_n}, 1_{S_n} \rangle \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2(a),

$$\langle \chi^{\mu,\nu} \downarrow_{S_n}^{B_n}, 1_{S_n} \rangle = \langle \chi^{\mu,\nu}, 1_{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{B_n} \rangle = \langle \chi^{\mu,\nu}, \sum_{j=0}^{n} \chi^{(n-j),(j)} \rangle,$$

and this is nonzero (and equal to 1) if and only if $\mu = (n-j)$ and $\nu = (j)$ for some $0 \le j \le n$.

Combining this with Lemma 5.3 we conclude that $\chi^{(n-i),(i)}$ is the unique irreducible B_n-character in $\mathrm{Sym}_i^n(M_k)$.

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $\mathrm{Sym}_i^n(M_k)$ is

$$\langle \chi^\lambda \downarrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^{(n-i),(i)} \rangle = \langle \chi^\lambda, \chi^{(n-i),(i)} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} \rangle.$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1(a)-(b),

$$\chi^{(n-i),(i)} \uparrow_{B_n}^{S_{2n}} = (\chi^{(n-i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(i)}) \uparrow_{B_{n-i}\times B_i}^{S_{2n}} =$$

$$= (\sum_{\mu\vdash n-i} \chi^{2\cdot\mu} \otimes \sum_{\nu\vdash i} \chi^{(2\cdot\nu)'}) \uparrow_{S_{2n-2i}\times S_{2i}}^{S_{2n}} .$$

The Littlewood-Richardson rule completes the proof of Theorem 5.4.

□

5.3 Hook Components of Tensor Powers

In this subsection we generalize the results of the previous sections to obtain a bivariate interpolation between symmetric and exterior powers of symmetric and skew symmetric matrices.

As before, the n-th tensor power $M_k^{\otimes n}$ carries an S_n-action. The symmetric power $\mathrm{Sym}^n(M_k)$ is the S_n-invariant part, i.e., corresponds to the trivial character $\chi^{(n)}$. The exterior power corresponds to the sign character $\chi^{(1^n)}$. We shall denote the factor corresponding to the hook character $\chi^{(n-t,1^t)}$ $(0 \le t \le n-1)$ by $M_k^{\otimes n}(t)$. Then

Theorem 5.6 *For every $0 \le t \le n-1$ and $\lambda \in Par_k(2n)$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $M_k^{\otimes n}(t)$ is*

$$\binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{t} (-1)^{t-i} \sigma_\lambda(i) = \binom{n-1}{t} \sum_{i=t+1}^{n} (-1)^{i-t-1} \sigma_\lambda(i)$$

where

$$\sigma_\lambda(i) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n-i,\ |\gamma|+|\delta|=i} c^\lambda_{2\cdot\alpha,(2\cdot\beta)',2*\gamma,(2*\delta)'}$$

and the sum runs over all partitions α and β with total size $n-i$, and all partitions γ and δ with distinct parts and total size i. The operations $\cdot$, $*$ are as defined in Subsection 2.1, and the extended Littlewood-Richardson coefficients are as defined in Subsection 2.2.

Proof. Similar arguments to those in the proof of Theorem 5.1 show that the multiplicity of V_k^λ in the hook component $M_k^{\otimes n}(t)$ is

$$\binom{n-1}{t}\langle \chi^{(n-t,1^t)} \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^\lambda\rangle.$$

By (3.a),

$$\langle (\chi^{(n-t,1^t)} + \chi^{(n-t+1,1^{t-1})}) \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^\lambda\rangle =$$

$$= \langle (\chi^{(n-t)} \otimes \chi^{(1^t)}) \uparrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_n} \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^\lambda\rangle =$$

$$= \langle (\chi^{(n-t)} \otimes \chi^{(1^t)}) \uparrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{B_{n-t}\times B_t} \uparrow_{B_{n-t}\times B_t}^{S_{2n}}, \chi^\lambda\rangle$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, for every t

$$(\chi^{(n-t)} \otimes \chi^{(1^t)}) \uparrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{B_{n-t}\times B_t} = \chi^{(n-t)} \uparrow_{S_{n-t}}^{B_{n-t}} \otimes \chi^{(1^t)} \uparrow_{S_t}^{B_t} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-t} \chi^{(i),(n-t-i)} \otimes \sum_{j=0}^{t} \chi^{(1^j),(1^{t-j})} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-t} (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-t-i)}) \uparrow_{B_i\times B_{n-t-i}}^{B_{n-t}} \otimes \sum_{j=0}^{t} (\chi^{(1^j),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(1^{t-j})}) \uparrow_{B_j\times B_{t-j}}^{B_t}.$$

Hence

$$(\chi^{(n-t)} \otimes \chi^{(1^t)}) \uparrow_{S_{n-t}\times S_t}^{S_{2n}} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-t}\sum_{j=0}^{t} (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-t-i)} \otimes \chi^{(1^j),\emptyset} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(1^{t-j})}) \uparrow_{B_i\times B_{n-t-i}\times B_j\times B_{t-j}}^{S_{2i}\times S_{2(n-t-i)}\times S_{2j}\times S_{2(t-j)}} \uparrow_{S_{2i}\times S_{2(n-t-i)}\times S_{2j}\times S_{2(t-j)}}^{S_{2n}} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-t}\sum_{j=0}^{t} (\chi^{(i),\emptyset} \uparrow_{B_i}^{S_{2i}} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(n-t-i)} \uparrow_{B_{n-t-i}}^{S_{2(n-t-i)}} \otimes \chi^{(1^j),\emptyset} \uparrow_{B_j}^{S_{2j}} \otimes \chi^{\emptyset,(1^{t-j})} \uparrow_{B_{t-j}}^{S_{2(t-j)}}) \uparrow_{S_{2i}\times S_{2(n-t-i)}\times S_{2j}\times S_{2(t-j)}}^{S_{2n}}.$$

Lemma 2.1 and the Littlewood-Richardson rule complete the proof.

□

Let $M_k^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ be the component of $M_k^{\otimes n}(t)$ with j skew symmetric factors. The following result is a common refinement of Theorems 5.4 and 5.6.

Theorem 5.7 *For every $0 \le t \le n-1$, $0 \le j \le n$ and $\lambda \in Par_k(2n)$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $M_k^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ is*

$$\binom{n-1}{t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}(-1)^{t-i}\sigma_\lambda(i,j) = \binom{n-1}{t}\sum_{i=t+1}^{n}(-1)^{i-t-1}\sigma_\lambda(i,j)$$

where

$$\sigma_\lambda(i,j) := \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+|\delta|=n,\ |\gamma|+|\delta|=i,\ |\beta|+|\delta|=j} c^{\lambda}_{2\cdot\alpha,(2\cdot\beta)',2*\gamma,(2*\delta)'},$$

and the sum is over all partitions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ with total size n such that γ and δ have distinct parts and total size i, and β and δ have total size j.

Proof. Lemma 5.3, used as in the proof of Theorem 5.4, shows that the factors of $M_k^{\otimes n}(t,j)$, in the decomposition given in Theorem 5.6, are those for which $|\beta| + |\delta| = j$.

□

Corollary 5.8 *Let $\lambda \subseteq (k^k)$ be a partition of $2n$. For every $0 \le t \le n-1$ and $0 \le j \le n$, the multiplicity of V_k^λ in $M_k^{\otimes n}(t,j)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $V_k^{\lambda'}$ in $M_k^{\otimes n}(t,n-j)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.7, it suffices to show that

$$\binom{n-1}{t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}(-1)^{t-i}\sigma_\lambda(i,j) = \binom{n-1}{t}\sum_{i=0}^{t}(-1)^{t-i}\sigma_{\lambda'}(i,n-j).$$

This follows from

$$\sigma_\lambda(i,j) = \sigma_{\lambda'}(i,n-j),$$

which in turn follows from (2.a).

□

6 Appendices.

6.1 Proof of Lemma 2.2

Lemma 2.2 follows from a more general result.

For partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$, let $\lambda \oplus \mu$ be the skew shape defined by

$$\lambda \oplus \mu := (\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_k + \mu_1, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)/(\mu_1^k).$$

Theorem 6.1 *If (λ, μ) is a bipartition of n then the restriction*

$$\chi^{\lambda,\mu}\downarrow_{S_n}^{B_n} = \chi^{\lambda\oplus\mu}.$$

Proof. The characters $\chi^{\lambda\oplus\mu}$ and $\chi^{\lambda,\mu}$, evaluated at elements of S_n, have the same recursive formula (Murnaghan-Nakayama rule). For $\chi^{\lambda,\mu}$ see [17, Theorem 4.3]. For $\chi^{\lambda\oplus\mu}$ see [9, Theorem 5.6.16]. □

Proof of Lemma 2.2.

(a) Let (λ,μ) be a bipartition of n. By Frobenius reciprocity and Theorem 6.1,

$$\langle\chi^{(n)}\uparrow_{S_n}^{B_n},\chi^{\lambda,\mu}\rangle=\langle\chi^{(n)},\chi^{\lambda,\mu}\downarrow_{S_n}^{B_n}\rangle=\langle\chi^{(n)},\chi^{\lambda\oplus\mu}\rangle=\begin{cases}1, & \max\{\ell(\lambda),\ell(\mu)\}\le 1;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The last equality follows from the Littlewood-Richardson rule, reformulated for skew shapes [15, (7.64)]. By this rule, $\langle\chi^{(n)},\chi^{\lambda\oplus\mu}\rangle$ is nonzero (and equal to 1) if and only if $\lambda\oplus\mu$ is a horizontal strip (i.e., each column contains at most one box).

(b) The proof for $\chi^{(1^n)}$ is similar. □

6.2 Proof of Lemma 5.3

Proof. Let $\sigma_i:=(i,-i)\in B_n$ $(1\le i\le n)$, and let η be the sum $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_i\in \boldsymbol{C}[B_n]$. Consider the tensor product $w=w_1\otimes w_2\otimes\cdots\otimes w_n\in M_k^{\otimes n}$, where each w_i is either a symmetric or a skew symmetric matrix. Then according to the B_n-action, defined in Section 5.2,

$$\sigma_i(w)=\begin{cases}w, & \text{if } w_i \text{ is symmetric;}\\ -w, & \text{if } w_i \text{ is skew symmetric.}\end{cases}$$

Hence, for every vector $v\in M_k^{\otimes n}(i)$

$$\eta(v)=(n-2i)v. \tag{6.a}$$

On the other hand, the set $\{\sigma_i\mid 1\le i\le n\}$ is a conjugacy class in B_n. Thus the element $\eta=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_i$ is in the center of $\boldsymbol{C}[B_n]$. By Schur's Lemma, for every vector v in the irreducible B_n-module $S^{\mu,\nu}$

$$\eta(v)=c^{\mu,\nu}\cdot v,$$

where

$$c^{\mu,\nu}=\frac{\chi^{\mu,\nu}(\eta)}{\chi^{\mu,\nu}(id)}=\frac{n\chi^{\mu,\nu}(\sigma_1)}{\chi^{\mu,\nu}(id)}.$$

Let f^λ, $f^{\mu,\nu}$ be the number of standard Young tableaux (bitableaux) of shapes λ, (μ, ν) respectively. Recall that

$$\chi^{\mu,\nu}(id) = f^{\mu,\nu} = \binom{n}{|\nu|} f^\mu f^\nu,$$

and that $\chi^{\mu,\nu}(\sigma_1)$ is equal to the number of standard Young bitableaux of shape (μ, ν), in which the digit 1 is in the first diagram μ, minus the number of those in which 1 is in the second diagram ν. Thus

$$\chi^{\mu,\nu}(\sigma_1) = \binom{n-1}{|\nu|} f^\mu f^\nu - \binom{n-1}{|\nu|-1} f^\mu f^\nu = \frac{n-2|\nu|}{n} \binom{n}{|\nu|} f^\mu f^\nu.$$

It follows that

$$c^{\mu,\nu} = \frac{n\chi^{\mu,\nu}(\sigma_1)}{\chi^{\mu,\nu}(id)} = n - 2|\nu|,$$

and therefore

$$\eta(v) = (n - 2|\nu|)v \qquad (\forall v \in S^{\mu,\nu}). \tag{6.b}$$

Combining (6.a) with (6.b) completes the proof.

□

Acknowledgments. The authors thank R. Howe, A. Regev, T. Seeman, N. Wallach and an anonymous referee for their useful comments.

References

[1] Y. Dvir, *On the Kronecker product of S_n characters.* J. Algebra 154 (1993), 125–140.

[2] C. Ehresmann, *Sur la topologie de certains espaces homogènes.* Ann. of Math. 35 (1934), 396–443.

[3] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, *Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups.* Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl. Vol. 68, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[4] S. Helgason, *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications.* Adv. Math. 5 (1970), 1–54.

[5] R. Howe, *Remarks on Classical Invariant Theory.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 313 (1989), 539–570.

[6] R. Howe and T. Umeda, *The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions.* Math. Ann. 290 (1991), 565–619.

[7] A. T. James, *Zonal polynomials of the real positive definite matrices.* Annals of Math. 74 (1961), 456–469.

[8] G. D. James and A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group.* Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl. Vol. 16, Addison-Wesley, 1981.

[9] A. Kerber, *Algebraic combinatorics via finite group actions.* Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim, 1991.

[10] B. Kostant, *Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel Weil theorem.* Ann. of Math. 74 (1961), 329–387.

[11] J. P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups.* Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[12] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials.* second edition, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995.

[13] A. Regev, *The Kronecker product of S_n characters and an $A \otimes B$ theorem for Capelli identities.* J. Algebra 66 (1980), 505–510.

[14] G. Shimura, *On differential operators attached to certain representations of classical groups.* Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 463–488.

[15] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume II.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[16] J. R. Stembridge, *On Schur Q-functions and the primitive idempotents of commutative Hecke algebra.* J. Alg. Combin. 1 (1992), 71–95.

[17] J. Stembridge, *On the eigenvalues of representations of reflection groups and wreath products.* Pacific J. Math. 140 (1989), 359–396.

[18] R. Thrall, *On symmetrized Kronecker powers and the structure of the free Lie ring.* Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 371–388.